Redacted Copy

Page 2330

Before the

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

THE TENNIS CHANNEL, INC.

MB Docket

No. 10-204

v.

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS,) File No.

LLC

COmplaint Alleging Program

Carriage Discrimination

Monday,

May 2, 2011

Volume 7

Hearing Room TW-A363

Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

445 12th Street, S.W.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JUDGE RICHARD L. SIPPEL Chief Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES

On Behalf of Comcast Cable Communications, LLC

MICHAEL P. CARROLL, ESQ ANDREW N. DeLANEY, ESQ EDWARD N. MOSS, ESQ DAVID B. TOSCANO, ESQ

of: Davis Polk & Wardwell, LLP 450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 450-4000

DAVID H. SOLOMON, ESQ J. WADE LINDSAY, ESQ

of: Wilkinson, Barker and Knauer, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 783-4141

On Behalf of The Tennis Channel, Inc.

C. WILLIAM PHILLIPS, ESQ PAUL SCHMIDT, ESQ NEEMA TRIVEDI, ESQ

of: Covington & Burling, LLP
The New York Times Building
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10018-1405

(212) 841-1081

of:

LEAH E. POGORILER, ESQ
ROBERT SHERMAN, ESQ
STEPHEN A. WEISWASSER, ESQ
Covington & Burling, LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004-2401 (202) 662-5115

On Behalf of the Federal Communications
Commission

WILLIAM H. KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ

GARY OSHINSKY, ESQ

GARY SCHNONMAN, ESQ

Investigations and Hearings Division

Enforcement Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

1270 Fairfield Road

Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325

(717) 338-2505

					Page 233	
T-A-E	B-L-E O-F C-	O-N-T-E	-N-T-S			
WITNE	SS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
Jenni	fer Gaiski	2335		2456		
Mr. F	hillips		2374		2476	
Mr. S	Schnonman		2436			
Josep	h Donnelly	2491		2658		
Mr. S	schmidt		2543		2664	
Mr. K	Knowles-Kell	ett	2646			
Marc	Goldstein	2670		2754		
Mr. P	hillips		2690		2759	
		E-X-H-	I-B-I-:	Γ - S		
Exhib	oit No.				Mark Recd	
Comca	ıst					
78	Gaiski Testimony 2338 2374			2338 2374		
588	Excel Spre	adsheet	of			
	Three Mode	ls			2341	
1302	Apex Database		2467 2475			
76	Donnelly Testimony		2497 2498			
666	-		2666			
79	Goldstein Testimony		2671 2672			
Tenni	s Channel					
506	Correspond	ence fro	om		2390 2391	
	Gaiski to Dannenbaum					

Page 2334 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 9:35 a.m. 3 JUDGE: Let's go on the record and 4 I will swear you right in as a witness, ma'am. 5 WHEREUPON, 6 JENNIFER GAISKI 7 was called as a witness and, having been first 8 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 9 follows: 10 Before you start, program JUDGE: 11 matters, what have we done this week? Five days of testimony. Right? And then we've got 12 13 the royal couple married. 14 MR. CARROLL: We did. 15 JUDGE: We did that. 16 MR. CARROLL: Osama bin Laden has 17 been addressed. 18 JUDGE: It has been addressed, but somebody has to get the long form death 19 20 certificate. You know that. 21 (Laughter.)

MR. CARROLL: That's true.

22

	Page 2337
1	been there?
2	A Oh, I started off as a manager,
3	was promoted to director, was promoted to
4	senior director, then vice president, then
5	senior vice president.
6	Q And all of those positions in the
7	same area?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Content Acquisition?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q And we've had discussion of this
12	previous. But generally what is content
13	acquisition again?
14	A It's the licensing of video
15	content to be shown to Comcast customers on
16	different platforms.
17	JUDGE: See, what counsel is
18	really doing without embarrassing me is he
19	wants to be sure that I remember what we're
20	talking about.
21	(Laughter.)

Don't let that interfere though.

22

Page 2338 1 Just keep right on going. 2 MR. CARROLL: No, that is just --3 We're starting out graciously this week. 4 I was gracious. JUDGE: 5 BY MR. CARROLL: 6 Q Have you prepared some written 7 direct testimony in this case? 8 Α Yes. 9 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, may I 10 approach? 11 JUDGE: Please do. 12 MR. CARROLL: This is Comcast 13 Exhibit 78. 14 (Whereupon, the document referred 15 to was marked as Comcast Exhibit 16 No. 78 for identification.) 17 BY MR. CARROLL: 18 And can you identify Exhibit 78 Q 19 for us? 20 Α Yes, it is my direct testimony. 21 Q Okay. And is that your signature 22 on the last page?

1	A	Yes,	, it	is.

Q Very well. You can put that to the side. I want to ask you just about a few particulars very brief this morning. In 2009, I want to take you back to 2009. You were reporting to who then?

A Matt Bond.

Q Okay. Did there come a point in 2009 when you learned about a proposal from the Tennis Channel?

A Yes.

Q Do you remember how you learned about that?

A I was in a meeting in early May that I attended with Matt Bond whereby Ken Solomon and his team came in and presented to us an update on where the channel was and gave us a proposal.

Q Okay. And did you do any work after that meeting to follow up on the proposal?

A Yes, I did two things. I did an

	Page 2340
1	economical analysis on the proposal. And I
2	also spoke to my field folks about their
3	interest in the proposal.
4	Q Okay. So let me take each one of
5	those in turn. The economic analysis, what is
6	that you're referring to? What did you do?
7	A From what I recall, I ran three
8	models. I ran what we call a base case status
9	quo, a baseline of total aggregate expenses.
10	And then I ran what our expenses would be if
11	we move the Tennis Channel to digital classic
12	D1 and/or what our expenses would be if we
13	moved it to digital starter.
14	Q And did you do this all in your
15	head or did you document it in any way?
16	A I did it in an Excel spreadsheet.
17	MR. CARROLL: Okay. Let's see if
18	we can identify that.
19	Your Honor, may I approach and
20	hand something to the witness?
21	JUDGE: Please do.

MR. CARROLL: This is Comcast

22

Exhibit 588. And, Your Honor, for the rest of us, this is in our white binder behind Tab C.

(Whereupon, the document referred to was marked as Comcast Exhibit No. 588 for identification.)

It's the document just before the last set of notes at the end of that tab.

It's the spreadsheet with numbers aside of it.

And it's Exhibit 588. It should look like this when you get there, Your Honor.

JUDGE: We have seen that before.

MR. CARROLL: We have. We have

JUDGE: Okay. I'll tell you.

Maybe we can do something that would be worth doing. Maybe you could voir dire the witness and just give us some background about what her qualifications are in doing this kind of mathematical work.

MR. CARROLL: Sure. Absolutely.

JUDGE: Right. I mean if you

22 | would like to do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

indeed.

Page 2342 1 MR. CARROLL: Yes. I'll wait 2 until we're all on the same page. It should 3 be right in front of Tab D, I think. 4 JUDGE: Right in front of Tab D. 5 MR. CARROLL: Yes, right in front 6 of Tab D. 7 JUDGE: But you know what I've 8 done. I hate to tell you this. So I have my 9 own way of --10 MR. CARROLL: Okay. Right in front of this set of notes if you go right --11 12 JUDGE: This blue? 13 MR. CARROLL: Yes. It should be 14 right in --15 JUDGE: Fooled you. 16 MR. CARROLL: There we go. 17 JUDGE: I knew it all the time. 18 just wanted --19 MR. CARROLL: Just make me work 20 this morning. I know. 21 JUDGE: That's right. 22 BY MR. CARROLL:

Q Okay. First can you identify Exhibit 588 for us please?

A Yes, this is my analysis.

Q Okay. Now following up on the Judge could you explain your background and training that would relate to preparing something like this?

A I went to business school. So I have an MBA. This is a very typical economic analysis that I've been doing for most of my 14 years at Comcast.

Q And typical in what way? What does this analysis do and how do you go about creating it?

A It's showing three cases, the case as it is today, base case, current substimes current contractor rates and essentially what are the total economics that we faced status quo. And then it's saying, "Well, if we moved to D1 or digital starter as Ken Solomon presented in our meeting, how much would our total aggregate fees increase?"

Q And are each of those cases presented in the Exhibit 588?

A Yes.

Q And where is each case presented?

A So if you look on the far lefthand side, Current Contract.

Q Yes.

Case scenario. At the time we had million customers. Option A is the moved D1. D1 is digital classic. And we at the time had million customers. So you can see how I was moving it from million to million.

And you can also see how the rates now have an additional discount, a percent discount.

And then Option B was Ken
Solomon's other proposal which was digital
starter. So at the time we were just
beginning to roll out digital starter.

Digital starter is really a technical way of
taking the analog bandwidth and making it
digital.

Okay. And then how long

want to rent extra boxes.

JUDGE:

21

22

Page 2346 does that last? I mean in other words how 1 2 long do you get it for free before you have to 3 start paying? THE WITNESS: I don't know for 4 5 sure. I think that it's always included in 6 the price. 7 JUDGE: Okay. So digital starter -- But then if you have more than three TV 8 9 sets then you have to pay \$1.00 something a 10 month. 11 THE WITNESS: \$1.99 a month, yes. 12 JUDGE: Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: How many TVs do you 14 have? JUDGE: That's not critical to 15 16 this case. 17 (Laughter.) 18 THE WITNESS: We have like seven 19 in our house. 20 MR. CARROLL: So I'm going to stay 21 on point. But it's difficult. I'm struggling 22 this morning.

	Page 2347
1	JUDGE: Let's go.
2	BY MR. CARROLL:
3	Q And then on the right column, can
4	you just very briefly tell us what the far
5	right column shows on Exhibit 588?
6	A Yes. So at the top you see that
7	I'm cumulating the aggregate fees from January
8	1, 2010 through . Our current
9	Tennis Channel contract expires .
10	And those are just an aggregate license fee
11	over that time period.
12	Q For each of the scenarios.
13	A That's right. For each of the
14	scenarios.
15	Q Okay. And after you prepared
16	this, do you remember when you did this work?
17	A You can look in the bottom
18	righthand corner. It looks like I did in
19	early June.
20	Q Okay.
21	A I think it says 6/8/09 there. I
22	can't quite read it.

Page 2348 1 JUDGE: Something 12/09. I think 2 it's a five. Isn't it? 3 MR. CARROLL: Yes, I thought it 4 was 6/5. 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 6 date you're looking at is the date of the 7 proposal. 5/12/09 is the date that Ken 8 Solomon came in and met with us. It's the 9 date before that. That's my little signature 10 on when I actually performed the analysis. 11 And I believe it says June 5 or June 8, 2009. 12 JUDGE: Where are you on that one? 13 I see it. To the front. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, to the front. 15 Exactly. That's my little way of telling 16 myself when I performed the analysis. MR. CARROLL: Okay. And --17 18 That's date of analysis. JUDGE: 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 BY MR. CARROLL: 21 And then after you did this work 22 what did you do with it?

A I brought this down to Matt Bond,
gave it to him, and then I also distributed a
version to our lawyers.

Q Okay.

JUDGE: At that time, you gave it to your lawyers.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

JUDGE: Was there a legal concern

at that time?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: Yes, there was.

JUDGE: Can I ask it?

MR. CARROLL: Please.

JUDGE: Just the issue, not the
conversations. I mean in other words what was

15 the concern?

Matt Bond had been having more and more calls with Ken Solomon one-on-one. And Matt Bond felt as though the relationship was unraveling and that potentially we would face litigation with them.

JUDGE: Okay.

1

BY MR. CARROLL:

2

So you presented this Exhibit 588 0 to Mr. Bond.

3 4

Α Yes.

5

6

And was there any conversation you and he had about it? Do you remember?

7

Α It was very brief. We were

8

sitting in his office. I just ran him through

9

the economics. He looked at them and we went

10

on our way.

11

12

13

second of the two tasks you identified.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay. I'm going to come back to that in a moment. Let me just pick up the think you said something -- I wrote down that you checked with your field folks. We need a better descriptor than that. So what are you referring to there? Who did you check with and what were you checking on?

Α So at the time Comcast had four divisions, two graphical divisions, north, east, west, south. And they were the folks that I worked through to gauge interest in

channels. And there was one person in each division that essentially is assigned to be in charge of all of the programming issues, ideas, pitches that are going on in that division.

Q Okay. And did you check with each of the four divisions separately or in some other way?

A In this instance I had a call with them. We wanted to put together a formal phone call and have a formal discussion about it.

Q Okay. With all of them at once?

A Yes.

Q And do you remember who the participants were? Who each of the division heads were?

A Yes. Jen Goldman, Jennifer

Goldman has been the southern division.

Trevor Arp was in what we call the north.

Mike Ortman was in the east. And I think it was a combination of Vicki Wember and Jay

level of service.

17

18

19

20

21

22

Had you sent each of the division heads you've identified any materials in advance of the call itself?

Yes. After we had the meeting with Ken Solomon, he had one of his folks

Page 2354 1 approach? 2 JUDGE: Please do. 3 MR. CARROLL: I'm going to show 4 the witness what's been marked as Comcast 5 Exhibit 130 and, Your Honor, this is behind 6 the document we were just on in the white 7 book. It's the very next document or should 8 be after the blue slip. It should be a set of handwritten notes. JUDGE: What exhibit? 10 MR. CARROLL: Comcast Exhibit 130. 11 12 JUDGE: I've got it. 13 MR. CARROLL: And this is two 14 pages of handwritten notes. First of all, Ms. 15 Gaiski, is this your handwriting? 16 JUDGE: Let me ask. Is there any 17 objection to her seeing these notes now? 18 MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. 19 JUDGE: Go right ahead. 20 BY MR. CARROLL: Is this your handwriting? 21 Q 22 Yes, it is. Α

Page 2355 1 0 Okay. And can you identify these 2 notes? 3 Yes, these were the notes I took on the call. 4 5 Okay. In the upper left corner, 6 unfortunately the three-hole punch punched 7 through the first number. Can you tell us 8 what the date on these notes is? 9 JUDGE: Our technology can only go 10 so far. 11 MR. CARROLL: That's right. 12 (Laughter.) THE WITNESS: It was in June. 13 BY MR. CARROLL: 14 15 It was in June. So if that's 16 June, can you tell us the day in June? June 8, '09. 17 Α 18 Okay. And we're going to go Q 19 through these notes very quickly if we can. 2.0 And since it's your handwriting, what is the heading at the top? 21 Field Call About Tennis. 22 Α